Prospects of water crisis

2310. PROF. ALKA BALRAM KSHATRIYA: Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a huge water crisis is looming large over the country in the coming five-six years; and

(b) if so, what advance preparations has been made by Government to fight against the huge danger?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI JAY PRAKASH NARAYAN YADAV): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Project for rejuvenation of water bodies

2311. SHRI A VIJAYARAGHAVAN: Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have any proposal/project for rejuvenation of water bodies;

(b) if so, the details thereof and also the grant allotted to States for the above purpose State-wise, category-wise as on date;

(c) whether any State Governments submitted proposals for renovation/ rejuvenation of water bodies;

(d) if so, details including the proposal submitted, grant requested, State-wise as on today; and

(e) what are the details of criteria adopted for allotting fund to various States for rejuvenating water bodies?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI JAY PRAKASH NARAYAN YADAV): (a) and (b) Yes Sir. The Government of India has approved a Pilot Scheme "National Project for Repair, Renovation and Restoration of Water Bodies directly linked to Agriculture" in January, 2005. The Scheme is approved for a total outlay of Rs. 300 crores for the remaining period of Tenth Five Year Plan. The scheme envisages taking up pilot projects to be implemented by the States. Funding pattern is in the ratio 3:1 (Centre:State). Central share of

fund is to be released to States on the basis of approval of projects for which States are to submit detailed project reports (DPR) as per guidelines for the Scheme.

(c) and (d) The details of proposals submitted by States and grant requested is given in the Statement (See below).

(e) Funding is to be made on the basis of DPRs approved by MOWR. On approval of DPR, central share is to be released at half-yearly intervals. Sanctioning of annual central funds to Statos is subject to provision of funds in the State budget for both central and State share. Broad considerations for approval of DPRs are,—water bodies having original irrigation culturable command area of 40 hectares upto 2000 hectares can be included; techno-economic considerations such as water available *vis-a-vis* proposed storage capacity should be satisfied; cost-benefit ratio should be as per norms. The cost norms of approximately Rs. 30,000 per hectare of the culturable command area and of Rs. 80,000 per hectare of the additional potential restored are to be observed as upper limits. Cost of ancillary works for conveyance system shall not be more than 50 per cent of the project cost. DPRs shall include plan for involvement of Panchayati Raj Institutions and the community and handing over of the revived facility to the community for Operation and Maintenance.

Statement

Details as Referred to in the reply to Rajya Sabha Unstarred Question No. 2311

Sl. No.	Name of State	Name of District for which project proposal submitted by State	Estimated Cost (Rs in crore)	Budget Provision confirmed by State for grant in 2004-05
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Andhra Pradesh.	1. Mehbubnagar	32.840	Rs. 13.30 crores for
		2. Anantapur	13.770	Mehbubnagar and Anantapur
		3. Renga Reddy	9.820	-
		4. Adilabad	9.37	-
		5. Kadapa	3.75	-
2.	Karnataka	1. Gulbarga	31.640	Rs. 8.53 crores for
		2. Bangalore Rural	11.010	Gulbarga and Bangalore rural (Phase-I)

1	2	3	4	5
		3. Gulbarga (Phase-II)	4.09	-
		4. Bangalore (Rural)- Phase II	36.74	-
3.	Jharkhand	1. Saraikela	2.854	Rs. 2.00 crores for
		2. Palamu	10.060	Saraikela and Palamu
		3. Dumka	4.41	-
		4. Deoghar	4.38	-
		5. Jamtara	3.34	-
		6. Ranchi	1.42	-
		7. Giridih	4.66	-
		8. Hazaribagh	5.278	-
4.	Chhattisgarh	1. Kabir Dham	1.532	-
5.	Orissa	1. Ganjam	12.820	Rs. 2.25 crores for
		2. Gajapati	6.010	Ganjam and Gajapati
6.	Rajasthan	1. Ajmer	4.489	-
		2. Pali	2.450	-
		3. Udaipur	0.33	-
7.	West Bengal	1. South-24 Parganas	18,664	Rs. 1.27 crores for
		2. Uttar Dinajpur	4,934	South-24 parganas and Uttar Dinajpur
		3. Birbhum	-	-
		4. Murshidabad	-	-
8.	Tamil Nadu	1. Sivagangai	1.222	-
		2. Villupuram	9.372	-
		3. Dharmapuri	4.73	-
		4. Virudhunagar	9.63	-
9.	Madhya Pradesh	1. Tikamgarh	3.923	-
10.	Gujarat	1. Sabarkantha	4.44	-
		2. Banaskantha	7.63	-
11.	Assam	1. Karbi Anglong	11.17	-
12.	Kerala	1. Pathanamthitta	1.90	.
		2. Palghat	1.40	-
13.	Himachal Pradesh	1. Mandi	2.43	-
14.	Jammu& Kashmir	1. Kupwara	3.26	-
15.	Uttaranchal	1. Dehradun	6.64	-

1	2	3	4	5
16.	Uttar Pradesh	1. Siddharth Nagar 2. Balrampur 3. Mahoba 4. Hamirpur	1.286 3.19 24.95	
17.	Goa	1. North Goa	6.274	
18.	Tripura	1. North Tripura 2. West Tripura	5.00 5.00	
19.	Pondicherry	1. Pondicherry	19.50	
20.	Manipur	1. Tamangi Ong 2. Imphal East 3. Thoubal 4. Bishnupur 5. Ukhrul	0.327 1.85 0.52 0.95 0.358	

Irrigation projects in Chhattisgarh

†2312. SHRI RAMADHAR KASHYAP: Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to state:

(a) the number of pending irrigation projects in Chhattisgarh which need Central assistance;

(b) the reasons for delay in implementation of these projects;

(c) what are the details of the steps being taken for speedy implementation of such projects;

(d) whether there has been any escalation in cost of projects; and

(e) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI JAY PRAKASH NARAYAN YADAV): (a) to (e) There are 7 major and 5 medium ongoing irrigation projects in Chhattisgarh. Irrigation being a State subject, the irrigation projects are planned, formulated, executed and funded by the State Governments out of their own resources as per their priorities. Thers has been escalation in cost of the ongoing major/medium projects in the State. The Central Government launched the Accelerated Irrigation Benefits Programme (AIBP) in 1996-97 to accelerate completion of such ongoing approved major/medium

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.